Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/931,271	DICKINSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Fuller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 15, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brown et al U.S. Patent No. 6,143,496 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI See Continuation Sheet Part III.	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner and Mr. Fuller discussed the pending claims in view of the art cited in the Final Office Action and Brown et al. The examiner stated that the amendments submitted with the RCE overcome the art cited in the Office Action. The examiner stated that the amended claims read on the Brown et al patent. Mr. Fuller and the examiner discussed amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in view of Brown et al. Amendments were agreed upon and will be entered in an examiner's amendment.